

APPLICATION NO.

09/519,666

5514

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

03/06/2000

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO

08/08/2003

7590

30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA

NEW YORK, NY 10112



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

1263.1195 8730

EXAMINER

AZARIAN, SEYED H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2625

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Richard Ian Taylor

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/519,666	TAYLOR, RICHARD IAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Seyed Azarian	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 March 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2625

# **FINAL ACTION**

## RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed, 9/19/2003, has been entered and made of record.
- 2. Applicants' arguments with regards to Claims 1-24 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in view of new ground of rejection necessitated by applicant's amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8-14 and 16-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robert (U.S. patent 5,214,751) in view of Everett (U.S. patent 3,971,620).

Regarding claim 1, Robert discloses method for the temporal interpolation of images and device for implementing this method comprising; an image registered for registering the input

Art Unit: 2625

images recorded at different times, (Fig. 14, column 19, lines 43-53, refer to inputs registers 120 to 123 which respectively store the four pairs of coordinates applied to the input terminal).

And a pixel value interpolator arranged to interpolate between pixel values of the registered input images to generate pixel values for interpolated images form the same viewing position and direction relative to scene for the image sequence (column 3, lines 24-32, refer to interpolation of images for an interpolated luminance value for each pixel of an image called the image to interpolated also Fig. 2, column 5, lines 25-36, refer to location and direction).

However Robert is silent about "fix position". On the other hand Everett teaches (Fig. 8 column 8, lines 7-19, position show in Fig. 8, thereby maintaining the image of the sun formed by the telescope B in the "fix position").

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to modify Robert invention according to the teaching of Everett because it provides an improved astronomical which can be used non-observatory type building which are comparatively inexpensive in that observatory-type and maintained for accuracy and better result.

Regarding claim 2, Robert discloses apparatus, wherein the image registering unit comprises (a) transformation calculator for calculating transformation to transform the input images (column 11, lines 43-54, interpolated luminance value has been computed, then value of the coordinate is "compared" and also column16, lines 39-51, refer to input of the multiplexed and comparators 15 and 16).

A transformations applicator for using the transformations calculator to register the input images, (column 16, lines 39-51 first input applied to a first input of the comparator 15 receives a

Art Unit: 2625

value of a function read from memory corresponding to the son pixel being processed and the comparator 19 has a second input receiving a "threshold value" and comparators 15 and 16each have a output connected to an input of the logic in order to validate).

Regarding claim 3, Robert discloses apparatus according to claim 2, wherein the transformation calculator comprises a matcher to match features in the input images and a calculator for calculating the transformations on the basis of the matched features, (Fig. Column 7, lines 3-13, the two base points A and B, selection of the best father pixel consists in "comparing with another the values of pixels with pixels (refer to matching)).

Regarding claim 4, Robert discloses apparatus, wherein the transformation calculator comprises an input signal processor for processing signals input by a user defining matching features (column 11, lines 43-54, interpolated luminance value has been computed, then value of the coordinate is "compared" (refer to matching) and also column16, lines 39-51, refer to input of the multiplexed and comparators 15 and 16).

Regarding claim 5 and 13, Robert discloses apparatus, wherein the pixel value interpolator is arranged to generate the pixel value using linear interpolation (column24, lines 48-53, determining an interpolated luminance value for the pixel to be interpolated by computing a linear combination).

Regarding claim 6, Robert discloses apparatus, wherein the pixel value interpolator is arranged to generate pixel values for interpolated images to be displayed in the image sequence in which each input image is to be displayed a plurality of consecutive times (column 24, line 67, through column 25, line 3, applied to images by television image frames, and lines 8-11, the invention is applicable in real time to standard changing devices for television frames, and to the

Art Unit: 2625

restitution of frames transmitted at a very low rate with suppression of a certain number of frames).

Regarding claim 8, Robert discloses image processing apparatus for calculating transformations to register input image with different viewing position (Fig. 1, column 4, lines 28-39, refer to different frames or references are differentiated by instants corresponding to the frames and frames are supplied by a source of video signals such as a conventional television camera).

And a pixel value interpolator for interpolating between the pixel values of the registered input images to generate pixel values for interpolated images for the image sequence (column 3, lines 24-32, refer to interpolation of images for an interpolated luminance value for each pixel of an image called the image to interpolated).

Regarding claim 14, Robert discloses a method according to claim 9, wherein, in the step of interpolating, pixel values are generated for interpolated images to be displayed in an image sequence in which each input image is to be displayed a plurality of consecutive times (column 5, lines 1-9, refer to sequence of frame is displayed at regular "time intervals").

Regarding claim 17, Robert discloses a method according to claim 16, wherein, the signal comprises image data (column 19, lines 25-35, refer to signal supplied by the sequencer in order to read the luminance values (image data)).

Regarding claim 18, Robert discloses a method according to claim 16, further comprising the step of recording the signal either directly or indirectly (column 21, lines 62-67, refer to registers 165 to 168, are respectively connected to second inputs of the multipliers 161-164, and the values of the logic signals respectively supplied by the comparators).

Application/Control Number: 09/519,666 Page 6

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 20, Robert discloses an image processing method for generating data for a time-lapse sequence of images, comprising, calculating transformations to register input images recorded with different viewing positions and/or viewing directions, (see column 5, lines 25-36, refer to object in motion and velocity vector, it is represented by a series of pixels having different coordinates).

Regarding claims 9-12, 16, 19 and 21-24, the arguments analogous to those presented for claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 8, are applicable.

5. <u>Claims 7 and 15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as applied to claims 1-6, 8-14 and 16-26 in view of Komiya et al (U.S. patent 6,205,259).</u>

Regarding claim 7, Robert and Everett fails to discloses an "overlap detector and interpolating between the pixel values in the area of overlap". On the other hand Komiya et al teaches (Fig. 38 and 39 column 11, lines 1-12 also column 23, lines 49-66, changing the pixel values that occurs in the overlap region due to the correlation and "interpolation" which displacement detecting circuit 24 and the interpolation circuit 25).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to modify Robert and Everett invention according to the teaching of Komiya et al because it is techniques by interpolating image data to provide an overlap relation between two windows or images that are respectively assigned to video image processing which can implements to in an motion picture for better result and accuracy.

Regarding claim 15, the arguments analogous to those presented for claim 7, is applicable.

Application/Control Number: 09/519,666 Page 7

Art Unit: 2625

#### Other prior art cited

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. patent (5,625,410) to Washing et al is cited for video monitoring and conferencing system.

U.S. patent (6,011,901) to Kirsten is cited for compressed digital video record and playback system.

### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground (s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# **Contact Information**

Art Unit: 2625

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seyed Azarian whose telephone number is (703) 306-5907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached at (703) 308-5246.

Page 8

#### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

#### Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (*informal* or *draft* communications, should be clearly labeled to expedite delivery to examiner).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to T.C. customer service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Seyed Azarian

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2625

Sup Lim

August 7, 2003

Jayanti K. Patel Primary Examiner